

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/602,838	Applicant(s) HANSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner JULIE HA	Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

(1) JULIE HA.

(2) SHELBY WALKER.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner telephoned Ms. Walker to request a supplemental IDS submission to correct the US Application numbers and corresponding office actions related to these applications filed in the IDS. The Examiner informed Ms. Walker that the MPEP states that each U.S. application listed in an information disclosure statement must be identified by the inventor, application, and filing date (see 37 CFR 1.98 (3)(b)(3)). Ms. Walker informed the Examiner that a supplemental IDS will be filed that include all information necessary for the US Applications.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Julie Ha/
Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)